



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket: TANIZAWA=1

In re Application of:)	Confirmation No.:
)	
Seiji TANIZAWA, et al.)	Art Unit:
)	
Appln. No.: 10/796,009)	Examiner:
)	
Filed: March 10, 2004)	Washington, D.C.
)	
For: SEQUENCE CIRCUIT DISPLAY)	April 26, 2004
METHOD OF INJECTION MOLDING)	
MACHINE)	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS]

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 CFR §1.97, as it is filed within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application and before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits or before the mailing of a first Office action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

2. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., Form PTO/SB/08A) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed is attached.

3. Documents AA and AB are not in the English language. In accordance with §1.98(c), Applicants states:

☒ [X] An English translation of each document AA and AB (or of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each corresponding English-language patent or application, or English-language abstract (or claim) is enclosed.

☐ [] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) _____ is found in the attached _____ search report (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).

☐ [] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) _____ is set forth as follows:

(insert concise explanation of relevance)

☐ [] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) _____ can be found on page(s) _____ of the specification.

☐ [] A concise explanation of document(s) _____ can be found on the attached sheet.

4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).


5. In accordance with 37 CFR §§1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation

that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant(s) reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.


Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:


Sheridan Neimark
Reg. No. 20,520

SN:msp
624 Ninth Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20001-5303
Telephone: (202)628-5197
Facsimile: (202)737-3528
G:\BN\M\meik\Tanizawal\Pto\IDS2004.doc



Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet	1	of	1
-------	---	----	---

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/796,009
Filing Date	March 10, 2004
First Named Inventor	
Group Art Unit	
Examiner Name	
Attorney Docket Number	TANIZAWA=1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

[illegible]

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

[illegible]

**Examiner
Signature**

Date
Considered

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.